

# Lecture 4: Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Dr. Huiping Cao

# Outline

- Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm
- Discussions
- Multiclass classification

# Motivation

- The Adaline method minimizes a cost function by taking a step in the opposite direction of a cost gradient. The cost gradient is calculated from the **whole training set**. This method is called **batch gradient descent**.
- For large dataset, running batch gradient descent can be computationally costly. A popular alternative is **stochastic gradient descent**.

# Major idea – weight update

- Batch GD

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \sum_i (y^{(i)} - \phi(z^{(i)})) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$$

- SGD

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta (y^{(i)} - \phi(z^{(i)})) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$$

# SGD: convergence and optimality

- SGD can be considered as an approximation of gradient descent, it typically **converges much faster**.
- The error surface is **noisier** than in batch gradient descent since each gradient is calculated based on a single training example.
- SGD does not reach the global minimum, but an area very close to it.

# SGD: data shuffle

- Present the training data in a random order
  - Iteration 1:  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}$
  - Iteration 2:  $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \mathbf{x}^{(4)}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(3)}$
  - Etc.
- To obtain satisfying results via SGD.

# Implementation

```
def fit(self, X, y):  
    rgen = np.random.RandomState(self.random_state)  
    self.w_ = rgen.normal(loc=0.0, scale=0.01, size=1 + X.shape[1])  
    self.cost_ = []
```

```
    for _ in range(self.n_iter):  
        net_input = self.net_input(X)  
        output = net_input  
        errors = (y - output)  
        self.w_[1:] += self.eta * X.T.dot(errors)  
        self.w_[0] += self.eta * errors.sum()  
        cost = (errors**2).sum() / 2.0  
        self.cost_.append(cost)
```

```
    return self
```

```
    for i in range(self.n_iter):  
        X, y = self._shuffle(X, y)  
        cost = []  
        for xi, target in zip(X, y):  
            cost.append(self._update_weights(xi, target))  
        avg_cost = sum(cost) / len(y)  
        self.cost_.append(avg_cost)
```

# Shuffle

```
for i in range(self.n_iter):
    X, y = self._shuffle(X, y)
    cost = []
    for xi, target in zip(X, y):
        cost.append(self._update_weights(xi, target))
    avg_cost = sum(cost) / len(y)
    self.cost_.append(avg_cost)
```

```
def _shuffle(self, X, y):
    r = self.rgen.permutation(len(y))
    return X[r], y[r]
```

**numpy.random.permutation(x)**: Randomly permute a sequence, or return a permuted range.

**Example:**

```
>>> np.random.permutation(10)
array([1, 7, 4, 3, 0, 9, 2, 5, 8, 6])
```

```
>>> np.random.permutation([1, 4, 9, 12, 15])
array([15, 1, 9, 4, 12])
```

If  $r = \text{array}([1, 7, 4, 3, 0, 9, 2, 5, 8, 6])$

**$X[r]$** : a matrix formed by  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(7)}, \mathbf{x}^{(4)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(6)}$

# Weight update

```
for i in range(self.n_iter):
    X, y = self._shuffle(X, y)
    cost = []
    for xi, target in zip(X, y):
        cost.append(self._update_weights(xi, target))
    avg_cost = sum(cost) / len(y)
    self.cost_.append(avg_cost)
```


```
def _update_weights(self, xi, target):
    output = self.net_input(xi)
    error = (target - output)
    self.w_[1:] += self.eta * xi.dot(error)
    self.w_[0] += self.eta * error
    cost = 0.5 * error**2
    return cost
```

xi.dot(error) is a vector  
 $(\mathbf{x}_1^{(i)} \cdot error, \mathbf{x}_2^{(i)} \cdot error, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m^{(i)} \cdot error)$

# Mini-batch gradient descent

- Mini-batch gradient descent: a compromise between batch gradient descent and SGD
- Apply batch gradient descent to smaller subsets of the training data.
- Advantage over batch GD: converge faster
- Advantage over SGD: computationally more efficient

# Gradient descent algorithms



Picture: courtesy of <https://towardsdatascience.com/gradient-descent-algorithm-and-its-variants-10f652806a3>

# Discussions: learning rate

- The learning rate is a **hyperparameter** that controls how much to change the model in response to the estimated error each time the model weights are updated.
- Controls the **rate or speed** at which a model learns
  - a large learning rate allows the model to learn faster, at the cost of arriving on a sub-optimal final set of weights
  - a smaller learning rate may allow the model to learn a more optimal or even globally optimal set of weights but may take significantly longer to train
- **Small positive value** in the range of  $(0, 1)$

# SGD: Learning rate

- Important hyperparameter to configure
- Choosing the learning rate is **challenging**
  - A value too small may result in a long training process that could get stuck
  - A value too large may result in learning a sub-optimal set of weights too fast or an unstable training process.
  - No analytically calculation can be used to decide this training rate.
- Tuning: trial and error
- Default value: 0.1 or 0.01

# SGD: Learning rate

- An alternative to using a fixed learning rate is to instead **vary the learning rate** over the training process.
- Learning rate schedule (**learning rate decay**): The way in which the learning rate changes over time (training epochs)
- **Adaptive learning rate**: the performance of the model on the training dataset can be monitored by the learning algorithm and the learning rate can be adjusted in response.
  - Three popular approaches: AdaGrad, RMSProp, and Adam

More reading:

[How to Configure the Learning Rate When Training Deep Learning Neural Networks](#)  
[Adam — latest trends in deep learning optimization](#)

# Multiclass classification

- One-versus-Rest (**OvR**), which is also called One-versus-All (**OvA**) techniques.
- **OvR**: fitting one classifier per class. A particular class is treated as the positive class and the samples from other classes are considered negative classes.
  - If we were to classify a new data sample, we would use  $n$  classifiers, where  $n$  is the number of class labels, and assign the class label with the highest confidence to the particular sample.
  - Interpretability advantage: Since each class is represented by one classifier only, it is possible to gain knowledge about the class by inspecting its corresponding classifier.
  - Most commonly used strategy and a fair default choice.
- Most commonly used strategy for multiclass classification.

# Multiclass classification

- **One-versus-one:** constructs one classifier per pair of classes.
  - The classifier for class labels  $i$  and  $j$  only needs to be trained using instances with these two class labels.
- At prediction time, the class which receives the most votes is selected.
  - Tie
- Fit  $n * (n-1)/2$  classifiers, each learning problem only involves a small subset of the data.
- This method may be advantageous for algorithms such as kernel algorithms which don't scale well with the number of samples.

# One-vs-Rest

- Assume there are  $n$  classes in your dataset
- It is necessary to train  $n$  binary classifiers using the same algorithm (e.g., Adaline)
- For example:
  - If we have 3 classes (A, B, C), train 3 classifiers.
  - Classifier1: predict all instances in class A to be 1 and instances in classes B and C to be -1.
  - Classifier2 predict all instances in class B to be 1 and instances in classes A and C to be -1.
  - Classifier3: predict all instances in class C to be 1 and instances in classes A and B to be -1

# One-vs-Rest (cont.)

- Predict the class to which an instance  $x$  belongs
- Predict using each of these  $n$  classifiers.
  - `classifier1.predict(x)`
  - `classifier2.predict(x)`
  - `classifier3.predict(x)`
- Easiest strategy: assign the class label whose corresponding classifier predicts  $x$  to be 1
- For example
  - `classifier1.predict(x) = -1`, meaning B/C
  - `classifier2.predict(x) = 1`, meaning B
  - `classifier3.predict(x) = -1`, meaning A or B
- The class of  $x$  is B.

# One-vs-Rest (cont.)

- It should be considered that depending on the dataset it is not always a guarantee that only one classifier will have as output 1 and the other -1, it may be the case that the classes overlap.
- Just pick one if there are more than two predictions with class equal to 1.
- You may also consider writing a function similar to the `decision_function(self, X)` to predict confidence scores for samples ([https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear\\_model.Perceptron.html#sklearn.linear\\_model.Perceptron.decision\\_function](https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.Perceptron.html#sklearn.linear_model.Perceptron.decision_function)) in the perceptron class.
- You can choose the class with the highest confidence score.

# References

- Sebastian Raschka, Yuxi Liu, Vahid Mirjalili: Machine Learning with PyTorch and scikit-learn. 3rd Edition. ISBN 978-1-80181-931-2. Publisher: Packt Publishing Ltd. **Chapter 2.**